

Sysadmins' Round Table

7 October 2004

Image processing vulnerabilities
Widespread HEP community Linux compromises

Image Vulnerabilities

- ❖ jpg- and bmp-processing vulnerabilities have been found in Linux, MacOS X and Windows.
 - ◆ (Someone has been busy!)
- ❖ gdiplus.dll bug is particularly hard to eradicate, as versions of this file are distributed with *many* software products.
- ❖ **Exploits are out there.**

Widespread Compromises



- ❖ Labs and universities all around the world have been seeing intrusions on fully-patched systems.
- ❖ When local-exploit vulnerabilities, intruders get root access.
- ❖ Passwords gathered.
- ❖ Active remote login sessions hijacked.

Initial Intrusion

- ❖ The method of the initial intrusion is pretty irrelevant now, since this attack propagates along valid login paths.
 - ◆ Could have been any remote exploit: rpc, sshd, cvs, apache, weak passwords ... and anywhere in the world.
- ❖ Propagation is vastly amplified by systems with unpatched local vulnerabilities, such as `mremap()` or `do_brk()`.

Attack Methods

- ❖ On a root-compromised machine, trojan versions of ssh and sshd gather passwords and private-key passphrases.
 - ◆ Stolen secrets are reported out in real time.
- ❖ Whether access is root or user-level, **appcap** can take temporary control of a network login client to access the remote host a user is connected to.

Appcap

- ❖ Appcap uses `ptrace()` to take control of a process such as an ssh client.
- ❖ It writes some machine code into the address space and makes the process run it.
 - ◆ Victim process' stdin & stdout reconnected to appcap's controlling terminal.
 - ◆ Upon `^C` to appcap, stdin & stdout are restored.
 - ◆ Victim's session seems silent during hijack.

Exposure

- ❖ If someone can run under your uid on a given machine, they can hijack your remote sessions from that machine to others.
 - ◆ And so on, recursively.
- ❖ If someone is root on a given machine, they can do this to all users' sessions.
 - ◆ And so on, recursively.

Combating the Intrusions



Impact on Fermilab

- ❖ Similar outbreaks in May 2003 & Spring 2004 only spread by captured passwords.
 - ◆ Fermilab escaped unscathed.
- ❖ This time:
 - ◆ Logins from CERN to FNAL were captured
 - ◆ Only Cryptocard responses!
 - ◆ Session from Spain to FNAL was hijacked.
 - ◆ No root access achieved!
 - ◆ Some writable web server cgi was altered.

Defenses

- ❖ Patches against local root exploits are vital.
 - ◆ Take a bow, system admins.
- ❖ Proper service (sshd) configuration.
 - ◆ Take a bow, CST
- ❖ Don't leave idle sessions around.
 - ◆ That slows the spread, but doesn't stop it.
- ❖ Don't forward tickets unnecessarily.
 - ◆ This may require unusual diligence.

More Defenses

- ❖ Disable WX access to memory.
 - ◆ Kernel work - feature exists in OpenBSD.
- ❖ Prevent ptrace().
 - ◆ Also have to restrict LKMs...